Appl. No. 10/828,533 Amdt. dated September 13, 2005 Reply to Office action of August 25, 2005

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Examiner's rejection of claims 1-31 under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being based upon a defective reissue declaration is respectfully traversed.

Enclosed are two appropriate Supplemental Declarations for Reissue Patent Applications (PTO/SB/51S(09-04), which are being submitted to overcome the Examiner's rejection under 35 U.S.C.

251. Each Declaration includes the following language:

Every error in the patent which was corrected in the present reissue application, and which is not covered by the prior oath(s) and/or declaration(s) submitted in this application, arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant.

The Examiner stated in the office action that the above language is acceptable language to overcome the Examiner's rejection of claims 1-31 under 35 U.S.C. 251 of Claims 1-31.

The first declaration which includes the above language to correct "errors" statement has the signatures of inventors

Laurence G. Nixon, Robert J. Taylor and Stephen B. Slaughter.

The second declaration which also includes the above language to correct "errors" statement has the signature of inventor William Seelig.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that

Appl. No. 10/828,533

Amdt. dated September 13, 2005

Reply to Office action of August 25, 2005

the above-identified reissue patent application is now in condition for allowance. Accordingly, the prompt issuance of this case is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

David S. Kalmbaugh

Reg. No. 29,234

Tel.: (805) 989-8266

Appl. No. 10/828,533 Amdt. dated September 13, 2005 Reply to Office action of August 25, 2005

## Amendments to the Drawings:

There are no amendments to the drawings.

Attachment: None

1